

# **Bt Resistance**



David Kerns Department of Entomology Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service College Station, TX

# **Bt Proteins Used in Bt Corn and Cotton**

Crop	Cry1	Cry2	Vip3A
Cotton	Cry1Ac, Cry1Ab, Cry1F	Cry2Ab, Cry2Ae	Vip3A19
Corn	Cry1Ab, Cry1F, Cry1A.105	Cry2Ab2	Vip3A20

# Corn Earworm Bt Resistance Monitoring (Cry1Ac, Cry2Ab2, Vip3Aa)



174 field populations with >267,264 insects from 2016-2023

# Diet-overlay Bioassays (2016-2023)

	Percentage of populations with RR > 10X								
<i>Bt</i> protein	2016 (5)	2017 (14)	2018 (34)	2019 (30)	2020 (5)	2021 (12)	2022 (37)	2023 (37)	
Cry1Ac	/	100%	94%	96%	100%	92%	100%	100%	
Cry2Ab2	80%	77%	73%	73%	100%	92%	74%	97%	
Vip3Aa	0%	0%	0%*	0%*	0%	0%	0%	0%	



## Early Warning of Resistance to Vip3Aa Protein



#### Open Access Article

#### Early Warning of Resistance to Bt Toxin Vip3Aa in Helicoverpa zea

by 🙁 Fei Yang <sup>1,\*</sup> 🖾 💿, 😩 David L. Kerns <sup>1</sup> 🖾, 😫 Nathan S. Little <sup>2</sup> 🖄, 😫 José C. Santiago González <sup>1</sup> 🖾 and S Bruce E. Tabashnik <sup>3,\*</sup> 🖾 💿

<sup>1</sup> Department of Entomology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

<sup>2</sup> USDA Agricultural Research Service, Stoneville, MS 38776, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Entomology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Toxins 2021, 13(9), 618; https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13090618

Increase from 2016 to 2020 in the Vip3Aa resistance ratio relative to the BZ lab strain for 71 field-derived strains of CEW. Linear regression: log (y) = 0.14X - 282, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.12, df = 69, P = 0.003.

# CORN EARWORM FEEDING IN LEPTRA CORN TX & MS -2018-19





Technology	Bt traits
NBT-1&2	None
Intrasect	CryIAb+CryIF
VT2P	CryIA.105+Cry2Ab2
Leptra	CryIAb+CryIF+Vip3A





Technology	Bt traits
NBT-1&2	None
Intrasect	CryIAb+CryIF
VT2P	CryIA.105+Cry2Ab2
Leptra	CryIAb+CryIF+Vip3A







Technology	Bt traits
NBT-1&2	None
Intrasect	CryIAb+CryIF
VT2P	CryIA.105+Cry2Ab2
Leptra	CryIAb+CryIF+Vip3A



# Two Types of Vip3Aa Resistance?



# Bt Resistance Selection Pressure from Intrasect

% change in susceptibility = 
$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{(\text{susceptible strain } LC_{50} \times \text{non-Bt collection } LC_{50})}{(\text{susceptible strain } LC_{50} \times \text{Bt hybrid collection } LC_{50})}\right)\right) \times 100$$



Intrasect = Cry1Ab + Cry1F

# Bt Resistance Selection Pressure from VT2P

% change in susceptibility = 
$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{(\text{susceptible strain } LC_{50} \times \text{non-Bt collection } LC_{50})}{(\text{susceptible strain } LC_{50} \times \text{Bt hybrid collection } LC_{50})}\right)\right) \times 100$$



VT2P = Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2

### H. zea- $F_2$ FAMILIES SURVIVING THE DIAGNOSTIC CONCENTRATION OF VIP3Aa39 (3µg/cm<sup>2</sup>)

Collection site	Year of collection	Method to establish the F <sub>2</sub> families	Number of F <sub>2</sub> families screened	Number of surviving F <sub>1,2</sub> 2 families	Estimated number of resistance alleles <sup>3</sup>	Estimated resistance allele frequency	Confidence Interval (95%)
Texas	2018-2019 <sup>1</sup>	Cross with SS♂ Light trap	126	2 (1.59%)	2	0.0042	(0.0011 – 0.0151)
Midsouth	2019-2020 <sup>2</sup>	Cross with SS $\bigcirc$	192	5 (2.60%)	5	0.0130	(0.0056-0.0301)
Overall	2018-2020	Cross with SS♂ Light trap Cross with SS♀	318	7 (2.20%)	7	0.0081	(0.0039-0.0166)

1. Total insects assayed in 2018 and 2019 = 16,128 larvae

- 2. Total insects assayed 2019 and 2020 = 24,576 larvae
- 3. Based on 128 larvae per bioassay/F<sub>2</sub> family
- 4. 5 survivors  $\geq$  2nd instar with at least 1 larva  $\geq$  3rd instar
- 5. Number of resistant alleles based on results from simple monogenic inheritance models ( $\chi^2 < 3.841$  with 1 df, p > 0.05)

### VIP3Aa RESISTANT STRAINS IDENTIFIED

Insect					No. insects within instar		nstar
Family	Origin	Host	Ν	No. survivors	2nd instar	<b>3rd instar</b>	4th instar
LA-M1	Alexandria, LA	BG2 Cotton	128	21	0	4	17
LA-AC4	Winnsboro, LA	VT2P Corn	128	20	0	6	14
MS-R2	Stoneville, MS	Cry1Ab Sweet corn	128	2	1	0	1
MS-R15	Stoneville, MS	Cry1Ab Sweet corp	128	22	3	19	0
MS-R21	Stoneville, MS	VT2P	128	1	0	0	1

Survivorship when exposed to 3.0 ug/cm<sup>2</sup> Vip3Aa39 diet overlays

#### In 2019-2020 we identified 5 - F2 families carrying Vip3Aa resistant alleles

Jose Santiago Gonzalez, Texas A&M University

## **VIP3Aa RESISTANT ALLELES**

Insect Family	Origin	Host	N	Observed survivors	Expected survivors for 2 alleles	χ²	P-value
LA-M1	Alexandria, LA	BG2 Cotton	128	22.9	32	3.441	0.064
LA-AC4	Winnsboro, LA	VT2P Corn	128	22.5	32	3.738	0.054
MS-R15	Stoneville, MS	Cry1Ab Sweet corn	128	24.8	32	2.141	0.143

Survivorship when exposed to 3.0 ug/cm<sup>2</sup> vip3Au39 diet overlays

P-values > 0.05; indicated that these families were carrying 2 resistant alleles and were homozygous resistant for Vip3Aa

The collection from the CryIAb sweet corn in Stoneville, MS yielded - 3 Vip3Aa resistant alleles (RR, RS)

Jose Santiago Gonzalez, Texas A&M University

# Vip3Aa Resistant Populations

Insect population	Collected location (Year)	LC50 (95% CL) (µg/cm²)	Resistance ratio	Inheritance
CBW-BZ-SS	/	0.11 (0.09, 0.13)	1	/
CBW-TX-VIP-RR	Snook, TX (2019)	> 100	> 909.1	Recessive, Autosomal, single-gene
CBW-LA-M1-VIP-RR	Alexandria, LA (2019)	> 100	> 909.1	Recessive, Autosomal, single-gene
CBW-MS-R2-VIP-RR	Stoneville, MS (2020)	> 100	> 909.1	Recessive, Autosomal, single-gene
CBW-MS-R15-VIP-RR	Stoneville, MS (2020)	> 100	> 909.1	Recessive, Autosomal, single-gene
CBW-LA-AC4-VIP-RR	Winnsboro, LA (2020)	> 100	> 909.1	Recessive, Autosomal, single-gene

# Vip-RR Interstrain Complementation Tests



Among these 5 strains there appears to be 3 different major gene loci conveying resistance

The MS strains are similar to each other CBW-MS-R2-RR CBW-MS-R15-RR The TX strain is similar to one of the LA strains CBW-TX-LT#70-RR CBW-LA-M1-RR One LA strain is unique CBW-LA-AC4-RR

# Stability of Vip3Aa Resistance

- Strains evaluated
  - CBW-TX-LT70-RR (Texas)
  - CBW-MS-R15-RR (Mississippi)
- Bt resistance selection pressure
  - No selections
- Measured mortality to Vip3Aa39 over 10 generations

Type III Tests of Fixed Effects							
EffectNum DFDen DFF ValuePr							
strain	4	90	469.03	<.0001			
concentration	5	90	23.83	<.0001			
strain*concentration	20	90	22.06	<.0001			

#### **Resistance stability of CBW-LT70-Vip3Aa-RR (Louisiana)**



Resistance to Vip3Aa was highly stable under no selection pressure

Type III Tests of Fixed Effects							
Effect	Num DF	Den DF	F Value	<b>Pr</b> > <b>F</b>			
strain	4	90	446.97	<.0001			
concentration	5	90	24.88	<.0001			
strain*concentration	20	90	22.07	<.0001			



#### Resistance stability of CBW-R15-Vip3Aa-RR (Mississippi)

Vip3Aa39 concentration (µg/cm<sup>2</sup>)

Resistance to Vip3Aa was highly stable under no selection pressure

# Triple Resistant Genotype "Super Worm" Response to Bt Proteins in Diet-overlay Bioassays

CBW-GI3-Cry-RR × CBW-TX-LT#70-Vip3A-RR = Triple-RR "Super Worm"

Bt protein	Insect strain	Nª	LC <sub>50</sub> (95% CL) (μg/cm²) <sup>ь</sup>	Slope ± SE	X <sup>2</sup>	df	Resistance ratio <sup>c</sup>
Vip3Aa39	CBW-BZ-SS	512	0.33 (0.16, 0.78)	1.80 ± 0.42	77.2	26	-
	CBW-Triple-RR	512	> 10.0	/	/	/	> 30.3
Cry1Ac	CBW-BZ-SS	512	0.09 (0.07, 0.12)	1.19 ± 0.10	38.2	26	-
	CBW-Triple-RR	512	23.83 (10.70, 108.76)	0.76 ± 0.14	19.6	18	264.8
Cry2Ab2	CBW-BZ-SS	512	0.11 (0.08, 0.16)	1.33 ± 0.13	36.7	26	-
	CBW-Triple-RR	512	54.48 (20.90 <i>,</i> 419.79)	0.73 ± 0.15	36.7	22	495.3

# Stability of Cry and Vip3Aa Resistance in a Triple Resistant Strain

- Strain evaluated
  - CBW-TRE-RR (Triple Resistant)
- Bt resistance selection pressure
  - No selections
  - Selected every generation with Cry1Ac at 6.0  $\mu g/cm^2$
  - Selected every generation with Cry2Ab2 at 6.0  $\mu g/cm^2$
  - Selected every generation with Vip3Aa39 at 6.0  $\mu g/cm^2$
  - Selected every generation with Cry1Ac + Cry2Ab2 + Vip3Aa39, each at 6.0  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Measured mortality to Cry1Ac, Cry2Ab2, and Vip3Aa39 over 10 generations
  - Discriminating dose of each protein at 10  $\mu g/cm^2$
  - Full range bioassays

Type III Tests of Fixed Effects									
Effect Num DF Den DF F Value Pr >									
strain	5	72	175.93	<.0001					
Generation	3	72	0.33	0.8065					
Strain*Generation	15	72	7.53	<.0001					



Resistance to Cry1Ac was highly stable, and did not differ from the unselected regardless of Bt protein

Type III Tests of Fixed Effects								
EffectNum DFDen DFF ValuePr								
strain	5	72	118.05	<.0001				
Generation	3	72	9.12	<.0001				
Strain*Generation	15	72	3.37	0.0003				



All strains not selected with Cry2Ab noted a decline in susceptibility after 1 generation.

Type III Tests of Fixed Effects									
Effect Num DF Den DF F Value Pr > F									
strain	5	69	575.54	<.0001					
Generation	3	69	1.19	0.3196					
Strain*Generation	15	69	1.78	0.0554					



Resistance to Vip3Aa was highly stable, and did not differ from the unselected regardless of Bt protein

# BENEFIT OF PYRAMIDED Bt PROTEIN VS. TRIPLE GENE RESISTANT H. zea



#### Survival of CBW-TRE-RR-All at 5 ug/cm<sup>2</sup> of Bt proteins

Bt proteins of 5 ug/cm<sup>2</sup>

Insect strain	Protein (5ug/cm2)	Ν	Observed survival (%)	No. O	Expected survival (%)	No. E	$\chi^2$	<i>P</i> -value
CBW-TRE-RR-All	Cry1A/Cry2A	64	65.62	41.9968	63.71	40.7744	0.100984	0.751
CBW-TRE-RR-All	Cry1A/Vip3A	64	65.62	41.9968	89.21	57.0944	36.99993	< 0.001
CBW-TRE-RR-All	Cry2A/Vip3A	64	29.69	19.0016	69.21	44.2944	46.90675	< 0.001
CBW-TRE-RR-All	Cry1A/Cry2A/Vip3A	64	14.06	8.9984	62.72	40.1408	64.81003	< 0.001

### Bollworm Injury to Bt Cotton - High Bollworm Pressure



# Benefit from Spraying

College Station, TX (2) - 2018



# Bt Cotton Trait Performance Texas





Percent Reduction in Fruit Damage Relative to Non-Bt								
Technology	June 28	July 3	July 12	July 17	July 25	Aug 1	Mean	
BG2	97.79	94.47	90.91	75.47	71.52	63.09	82.21	
WS3	97.04	96.67	94.00	98.21	100.00	94.64	96.76	
BG3	96.34	98.87	96.91	91.26	100.00	100.00	97.23	

# 2023 Vip3Aa Cotton Unexpected Injury Events

			Resistance Ratio		
Location	Technology	% damaged fruit	Cry1Ac	Cry2Ab2	Vip3Aa39
Starkville, MS	TwinLink Plus	17%	10298	1215	0.30 (7.50)
Wallis,TX	WideStrike 3	6% (25%)	599.2	60.8	0.37 (9.25)

- Vip3Aa failures in 2023 occurred in cotton that was cut out
- Damage was almost exclusively to the bolls
- Vip3Aa resistance was slightly elevated but not high enough to warrant concern
- Unexpected injury was most likely associated with low Bt expression

# **CRY2A CONCENTRATIONS**



#### CRY2A LEAF CONCENTRATIONS

- Significant three-way interactions
- Upper leaves decline as the season progressed
- 43 DAP irrigated tissues begin to separate
- 54 DAP dryland tissues begin to separate
- Perhaps Cry2A concentrations accumulate in older tissue





CRY2A SQUARE CONCENTRATIONS

- No differences among different zones
- Higher Cry2A concentrations in dryland squares late in the season
- In general, square buds higher concentrations than bracts
- Overall lower concentrations than leaves



#### CRY2A BOLL CONCENTRATIONS

- Similar trends as leaves
- Mature lower bolls obtain higher concentrations
- Cry2A concentrations highest in mature dryland bolls
- Cry2A Concentrations in bolls significantly lower than leaves

# VIP3A CONCENTRATIONS



#### VIP3A LEAF CONCENTRATIONS

- Overall concentrations lower than Cry2A
- Significant three-way interaction
- Upper tissues statistically highest early season
- Vip3A Concentrations declined through season
- In contrast to Cry2A,Vip3A declines in all tissues through the season





#### VIP3A SQUARE CONCENTRATIONS

- Vip3A concentrations similar between leaves and squares
- Essentially no differences between squares and bracts
- No significant differences between water regimes



#### VIP3A BOLL CONCENTRATIONS

- Similar trends emerge
- Vip3A concentrations lower in older bolls
- Differences not discovered between dryland or irrigated
- Overall Vip3A concentrations lower in bolls compared to leaves and squares

# Thank you & Questions?







**David Kerns** 

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX

Email: <u>David.Kerns@ag.tamu.edu</u>

Phone: 318-439-4844



United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture

